

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1875 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Mohammed Karim, son of Ismail, aged about 36 years, Working as Mechanical Helper Category, III, (E & M), CHP, Kusmunda, S.E.C.L., resident of Village Puchela, P.O. Bhairotal, Police Station Kusmunda, Tehsil-Katghora, District-Korba, (C.G.).

P. R. No. 1225/03
Presented by Shri S. Pandey
dated 24/06/03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1.: South Eastern Coalfields Ltd., through Chief Managing Director, Head Quarter at Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, (C.G.)

2.: Chief General Manager, S.E.C.L., Kusmunda Project, District-Korba, (C.G.)

3.: Deputy General Manager, Kusmunda Project, District-Korba, (C.G.)

4.: Deputy Chief Works Manager, S.E.C.L. Kusmunda Area, Kusmunda, District-Korba, (C.G.)

5.: Manager, Mines, S.E.C.L. Kusmunda Project, District-Korba, (C.G.)

6.: Collector, Korba, District-Korba (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
24/6/03
CLERK TO SHRI S. PANDEY

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(29)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1875/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	01/07/2003 Shri B.D. Guru, counsel for the petitioner. Heard. By this writ petition the petitioner seeks to challenge the order of the respondents by which the charge-sheet has been issued to the petitioner on 24/03/2003 and regular enquiry has been instituted against him. The petitioner's case is that he is working as a Mechanical Helper, Category-II in Kusmunda Project of S.E.C.L. The petitioner's appointment was made as a General Mazdoor Category against the land acquired by the Project and his appointment was made as being nominated candidate of land owner Ismail. Now, on account of a complaint lodged by the brother of the petitioner, some enquiry has been initiated against the petitioner to ascertain the correctness as to whether the petitioner obtained the service on a false information given by him to the respondents. Therefore, that enquiry be stayed. Learned counsel for the petitioner while making his submissions could not point out any reasons for staying the Departmental Enquiry since there is allegation against the petitioner that he obtained the job on the basis of a false information and that is being enquired into by the respondents. There is no allegation in the petition that enquiry is being conducted in violation of the Rules or without following the procedure as prescribed by the Rules or the principles of natural justice are being violated.	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In view of the above, I do not find any substance in this writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed and it is accordingly dismissed. Consequently, M.W.P.No.1695/2003 for ad-interim relief and I.A. No.5615/2003 for urgent hearing stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C.BHADOO** **Judge**	